

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4943-09/2025

Muhammad Ishaq

Vs

Faisalabad Electric Supply Company (FESCO)

November 10, 2025 Muhammad Saeed Raza, Director (PR), FESCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 03-10-2025 furnished certified copies of the required information/service rules, which were shared with the applicant, who has acknowledged receipt but raised objections vide his rejoinder dated 28-10-2025, stating that letter No. 9646 dated 23-05-2024, through which rules were amended/some incorporations were made, was not provided.

2. In response to the rejoinder, the public body vide letter dated 07-11-2025 furnished certified copy of letter No. 9646/CFO/FESCO/Admn dated 23-05-2025, issued by the office of the Chief Financial Officer. Copy of the said letter be shared with the applicant.

3. Since all the information required by the applicant has been furnished and stands shared with the applicant, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4944-09/2025

Muhammad Ishaq

Vs

Faisalabad Electric Supply Company (FESCO)

November 10, 2025 Muhammad Saeed Raza, Director (PR), FESCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 03-10-2025 furnished a written reply answering all three queries of the applicant, which was shared with the applicant. The applicant acknowledged its receipt but raised an objection that he had not been provided with the new investment policy approved by the Board of Directors. In response to the rejoinder, the public body vide letter dated 07-11-2025 answered the objections of the applicant raised in the rejoinder and also furnished the new investment policy approved by the Board of Directors.
2. Since all the required information has been furnished and stands shared with the applicant, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4192-11/2024

Ch. Kabir Ahmed Khan

Vs

PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore

November 10, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appeal was allowed vide order dated 04-08-2025 after receiving the written reply of the public body and hearing the arguments. The plea of the public body regarding exemption from disclosure of the required information under Sections 7, 16(b)(iii), and 16(c) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 was turned down.

2. Consequently, the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore was directed to furnish the required information (copy of agreement/contract) within 10 days of receipt of the order. Copy of the order was also sent to the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore for implementation.

3. However, neither the order of the Commission was implemented nor did anybody appear before the Commission. Whereupon, this Commission issued show cause notice to the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore under Section 20(1)(f) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.

4. The Commission verified through the Pakistan Post website and confirmed that the notices issued to the PAF Falcon Complex were duly served; however, as precaution, a fresh notice was issued to the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore through Air Headquarters.

5. The show cause notices issued to the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore dated 03-09-2025 and 17-10-2025 have been served, as confirmed from the Pakistan Post website, but neither any reply to the show cause notice has been received nor has anybody appeared before the Commission.

6. In view of the above, the conduct of the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore shows that he is acting willfully to obstruct the sharing of information ordered by this Commission while allowing the appeal on 04-08-2025. The

Commission is also satisfied that by not appearing and by failing to furnish a reply to the show cause notice, the Administrator has nothing to say in his defense and is liable to be proceeded against under Section 20(1) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. Accordingly, a penalty equal to 30 days' salary of the Administrator is imposed, and Air Headquarters is directed to deduct the salary of the concerned officer and submit compliance report before the Commission.

7. Copy of the order be sent to the Air Headquarters and the Administrator, PAF Falcon Complex, Lahore.

8. Adjourned to 08-12-2025 at Camp Office, Lahore

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4754-05/2025

Malik Waleed Awan

Vs

Pakistan Railways

November 10, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body is shared with the applicant vide letter dated 15-07-2025 under RGL No. 153052768, and the applicant vide letter dated 01-09-2025. The public body vide letter dated 28-06-2025 again furnished certified copies of the required documents which were again shared with the applicant vide letter dated 17-10-2025. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request and the information furnished by the public body and found that the information furnished by the public body meets the requirement of the information request, hence the appeal is disposed of in the manner that if the applicant believes that any false, incomplete, or misleading information has been furnished by the public body, he may file complaint to the Commission. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4762-06/2025

Mushtaq Ahmad

Vs

Federal Board of Revenue (FBR)

November 10, 2025 Nouman Baig, Inspector, FBR appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appeal was allowed vide order dated 29-10-2025, and the Chief Commissioner, Regional Tax Office, Lahore, was directed to furnish the information cited at Serial No. 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 11 of the information request. However, the said order has not been implemented.
2. Request is made for grant of adjournment, which is granted with the direction that the required information be furnished to the applicant as well as to this Commission within 10 days.
3. Adjourned to 08-12-2025 at Camp Office, Lahore

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4845-07/2025

Tahir Mumtaz Bhutta

Vs

Sui Northern Gas Pipeline Limited (SNGPL)

November 10, 2025 Hafiz Muhammad Tariq, Executive Officer, SNGPL Multan, appeared on behalf of the public body.

-

1. The public body vide letter dated 11-08-2025 filed comments/written reply, pleading that the applicant has no concern with the deceased Muhammad Hanif in whose name the gas connection was provided. Moreover, exemption under Sections 7(g) and (h) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 was also sought in respect of the required information/documents.
2. The said written reply was shared with the applicant, who filed rejoinder stating that he has been authorized by the legal heirs of the deceased. Copies of the power of attorney and affidavit are provided as proof of the attorney-ship. In reply to the rejoinder, the public body furnished copies of the record of disconnection and reconnection and the first application filed by the deceased Muhammad Hanif. However, copy of the agreement, demand notice, and application for the change of consumer name have not been provided. It is stated in the written response dated 07-11-2025 that the said record is 30 years old and not available with the public body. Moreover, it is added that the deposited demand notice and billing record are held by the consumer and not by the public body. Furthermore, the application cited at serial No. 7 of the information request pertains to the present consumer, and its record cannot be shared with the applicant under Sections 7(g) and (h) of the Act.
3. The Commission examined the contents of the information request, written reply of the public body, rejoinder of the applicant, and reply of the public body to the rejoinder, and found that except for the application for change of consumer name, the remaining record constitutes public record. However, as per the plea of the public body, the same is not held by it and therefore cannot be provided.

4. Copy of the first application for new connection of the deceased Muhammad Hanif and the record of disconnection and reconnection furnished today be shared with the applicant. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4705-05/2025

Qazi Tehmid Ahmad

Vs

Sui Northern Gas Pipeline Limited (SNGPL)

November 10, 2025 Applicant present in person. Usama Amjad, Proxy Counsel of Barrister Muhammad Ali Binyameen appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body has filed a reply to the rejoinder, taking the plea that performance reports do not constitute public record and that only final decisions are to be shared. As far as the remaining information is concerned, it already stands shared with the applicant.
2. The plea of the public body regarding exemption from disclosure of the performance reports is turned down, as under Section 5(i) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, performance reports are to be published, including uploading over the internet. Therefore, the plea of the public body in this regard is turned down.
3. The appeal is allowed. Managing Director, SNGPL is directed to furnish first confirmation report prepared by In-charge legal before issuing letter MD:QTA(510429) dated 21-11-2006 and Second confirmation report prepared by In-charge legal department of SNGPL before issuing letter MD:QTA(510429) dated 18-05-2007 within 10 days to the applicant as well as to this Commission.
4. Adjourned to 08-12-2025 at Camp Office, Lahore.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4851-07/2025

Muhammad Dilawar

Vs

Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO)

November 10, 2025

Nouman Rathor Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The applicant in his information request dated 19 7 2025 required following information,

1. Certified copy of appointment of company secretary Mr. Gohar Ayoub in LESCO

2. Complaint against Mr. Mr. Gohar Ayoub, Deputy Manager LESCO for legal acts in LESCO in getting posting/appointment in different offices in the convenience of hidden powers.

2. Allegedly, the said information was not provided to the applicant within time; therefore, he filed this appeal.

3. The public body, after receiving notice, filed written reply and took the plea that the applicant had filed Appeal No. 3745-07/25 before this Commission regarding certain documents, including the documents which are the subject matter of this appeal, and the same had already been provided to him. The public body was granted an opportunity to show evidence about the provision of this information in the earlier appeal, but no such evidence could be produced; therefore, the objection is overruled. The legal counsel, although claiming exemption under Section 16(c) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 read with Section 6 of the Act in respect of the required information, the Commission has found that both pieces of information pertain to public record and do not enjoy any exemption under any provision of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.

4. As far as the information cited at Serial No. 2 of the information request is concerned, Mr. Nouman Rathor Advocate, learned counsel for the public body, stated at the bar that no such record is available in the records of LESCO and hence cannot be provided to the applicant. He further stated that no specific details have been provided by the applicant in his information request; therefore, it cannot be located. In

view of the categorical response of the legal counsel for the public body, the Commission concludes that the information cited at Serial No. 2 of the information request cannot be provided or held by the public body.

5. However, the appeal is partially allowed to the extent of the information required at Serial No. 1 of the information request. Hence, the CEO, LESCO, is directed to furnish the required information to the applicant as well as to this Commission within 10 days.

6. Adjourned to 08-12-2025 at Camp Office, Lahore.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4879-06/2025

Abid Khan

Vs

Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO)

November 10, 2025 Applicant present in person. Mr. Nouman Rathor Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The applicant, vide his information request dated 05-05-2025, addressed to the Executive Engineer, LESCO Data Darbar Division, Lahore, sought certain information mentioned at Serial Nos. 6(a) to 6(j) of the information request.
2. Allegedly, the said information was not provided to the applicant; hence, he filed this appeal before the Commission.
3. The public body, in response to the notices issued by this Commission, furnished the written reply along with certain documents, which was handed over to the applicant on September 18, 2025. The applicant, vide letter dated 13-10-2025, submitted rejoinder raising certain objections to the information provided by the public body.
4. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, memorandum of appeal, written reply furnished by the public body, rejoinder of the applicant, and the reply filed by the public body thereto. The Commission also heard the arguments of the applicant and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the public body.
5. The Commission has noted that the information sought by the applicant is public record, except for the information cited at Serial No. 6(d) of the information request and the applicant, being a citizen of Pakistan, has fundamental right of access to the said public record.
6. In view of above, the appeal is partially allowed. Executive Engineer, LESCO Data Darbar Division, Lahore is directed to furnish the required information mentioned in the information request of the applicant except information cited at Serial No. 6(d) to the applicant as well as to this Commission within 10 days. Copy of the order be sent to the Executive Engineer, LESCO Data Darbar Division, Lahore, CEO, LESCO and

Chief Law Officer (CLO), LESCO for implementation. Copy of the order be also sent to the applicant for information.

7. Adjourned to 15-12-2025

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4382-01/2025

Nadeem Tanoli

Vs

Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO)

November 10, 2025 Mr. Nouman Rathor Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appeal was allowed on 14-05-2025 and is now at the implementation stage. Today, Nouman Rathor, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the public body, furnished written reply to the show cause notice issued to the CEO, LESCO.
2. In the said reply, it is mentioned that the information required by the applicant, i.e., copies of the inquiry reports conducted from January 2023 to date, is already available on the website of LESCO, i.e., www.lesco.gov.pk
3. Since the said information is already available on the website, the applicant be informed accordingly and advised to visit the website to access the copies of the inquiry reports.
4. The reply to the show cause notice furnished by the CEO, LESCO, is found satisfactory; hence, the notice is withdrawn. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4849-07/25

Pervez Iqbal

Vs

Multan Electric Power Company (MEPCO)

November 10, 2025 Jamshaid Niaz, Director (PR), MEPCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 18-08-2025 under RGL No. 154260926. The applicant, vide letter dated 04-09-2025, has filed a rejoinder stating that he has not been provided complete descriptive evaluation reports prepared by the first Evaluation Committee as well as the Grievance Committee. The representative of the public body has furnished the said report, it be shared with the applicant. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4941-09/25

Muhammad Saeed

Vs

NESPAK

November 10, 2025 Jamshaid Niaz, Director (PR), MEPCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 18-08-2025 under RGL No. 154260926. The applicant, vide letter dated 04-09-2025, has filed a rejoinder stating that he has not been provided complete descriptive evaluation reports prepared by the first Evaluation Committee as well as the Grievance Committee. The representative of the public body has furnished the said report, it be shared with the applicant. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4354-01/2025

Muhammad Nauman ul Haq

Vs

Multan Electric Power Company (MEPCO)

November 10, 2025 Jamshed Niazi, Director (PR), MEPCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Appeal was disposed of vide order dated 01-09-2025, and the applicant was allowed to file a complaint if he believes that the information furnished by the public body is incomplete or false. The applicant has now filed a complaint alleging that the Public Information Officer of the public body has failed to produce the required information, wrongly interpreted and misapplied Section 12 of the Act, evasively denied access to information otherwise held by MEPCO, and provided incomplete, contradictory, and deficient replies in breach of Sections 8, 13, and 17(4) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. The applicant has requested that an inquiry be conducted and that the Public Information Officer, MEPCO, be penalized.

2. Written reply has been furnished by the public body denying the allegations.

3. In view of the above, Mr. Shehzad Ahmed Khan, Assistant-I, is deputed as Inquiry Officer to conduct an inquiry under Section 20(d) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, in relation to this appeal. For this purpose, he shall have the powers to summon and enforce the attendance of witnesses, compel them to give oral or written evidence on oath, and require public bodies to produce records as defined in Section 6 pertaining to the appeal. He shall submit the report preferably within one month.

4. Adjourned to 08-12-2025 at Camp Office, Lahore.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4354-01/2025

Muhammad Nauman ul Haq

Vs

Multan Electric Power Company (MEPCO)

November 10, 2025 Jamshed Niazi, Director (PR), MEPCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Appeal was disposed of vide order dated 01-09-2025, and the applicant was allowed to file a complaint if he believes that the information furnished by the public body is incomplete or false. The applicant has now filed a complaint alleging that the Public Information Officer of the public body has failed to produce the required information, wrongly interpreted and misapplied Section 12 of the Act, evasively denied access to information otherwise held by MEPCO, and provided incomplete, contradictory, and deficient replies in breach of Sections 8, 13, and 17(4) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. The applicant has requested that an inquiry be conducted and that the Public Information Officer, MEPCO, be penalized.

2. Written reply has been furnished by the public body denying the allegations.

3. In view of the above, Mr. Shehzad Ahmed Khan, Assistant-I, is deputed as Inquiry Officer to conduct an inquiry under Section 20(d) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, in relation to this appeal. For this purpose, he shall have the powers to summon and enforce the attendance of witnesses, compel them to give oral or written evidence on oath, and require public bodies to produce records as defined in Section 6 pertaining to the appeal. He shall submit the report preferably within one month.

4. Adjourned to 08-12-2025 at Camp Office, Lahore.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Order

Appeal No. 4941-09/25

Muhammad Saeed

Vs

NESPAK

November 10, 2025 Muhammad Khalid Khurshid, Chief Engineer, NESPAK appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 03-10-2025, furnished a written reply submitting that, as per the agreement for consultancy services Clause 7/2, all technical data is the property of the Employer, and as such, all specific records including PICs are only in the custody of the Employer and were submitted to the Employer's office upon completion of the project almost twenty years ago.
2. It is further added that the PICs of the contractor M/s NLC were checked and recommended to the client, who made payments and kept the record. NESPAK is not involved in any payments to the client's contractors. The applicant may approach the MCL and M/s NLC for obtaining the required information/record.
3. Copy of the written reply was shared with the applicant, who filed rejoinder stating that NESPAK has provided false and irrelevant information. The Commission holds that, after the categorical reply submitted by the public body, no further proceedings are required in this appeal. However, if the applicant believes that false or incorrect information has been provided, he may file a complaint under the relevant provisions of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
4. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner